

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,029	04/06/2001	BORIS ATLAS	ORL-004	6430
30827 75	08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	LONG & ALDRIDGE L	CIRIC, LJILJANA V		
	1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			PAPER NUMBER
	•		3753	-
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/828,029	ATLAS, BORIS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SH WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any earn	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period cure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF T	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 N	<del></del>				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	·			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-16,19-25 and 27-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-16,19-25 and 27-34</u> is/are reject	cted.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 is/are: a)	)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		Bankar Ma			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		<del></del>			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	eceived in this National Stage			
* (	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived			
·		of the continue copies not re				
Attachmen		<b>.</b> □	(DTO 440)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sui Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/828,029 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is in response to the reply filed on May 31, 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 3. Claims 1 through 3, 6 through 16, and 19 through 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. Parent application 09/780,713 published as U.S. Patent No. 7,069,984 on July 4, 2006 with In Kwon Jeong listed as the sole inventor.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 through 3, 6 through 16, and 19 through 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Jeong in view of Cowans (U.S. Patent No. 6,102,113).

The applied reference has a common assignee (Oriol Inc.) with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the

Art Unit: 3753

reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2). Jeong discloses the instant temperature control system essentially as claimed, except for not necessarily disclosing the cooling unit as being a refrigeration unit that provides compressed refrigerant. Nevertheless it is notoriously well-known in the prior art and taught by Cowans (admitted prior art) to have a refrigeration unit be the cooling unit for a semiconductor processing facility. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Jeong temperature control system to specifically have the cooling unit be a refrigeration unit in order to provide a lower temperature cooling fluid as the system coolant.

## **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 through 3, 6 through 16, and 19 through 34 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,069,984 B1

Application/Control Number: 09/828,029 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

issued to Jeong on July 4, 2006 in view of Cowans (U.S. Patent No. 6,102,113). Jeong discloses the instant temperature control system essentially as claimed, except for not necessarily disclosing the cooling unit as being a refrigeration unit that provides compressed refrigerant. Nevertheless it is notoriously well-known in the prior art and taught by Cowans (admitted prior art) to have a refrigeration unit be the cooling unit for a semiconductor processing facility. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Jeong temperature control system to specifically have the cooling unit be a refrigeration unit in order to provide a lower temperature cooling fluid as the system coolant.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric whose telephone number is 571-272-4909. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene, can be reached at 571-272-4930.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ljiljana (Lil) V. Cirio Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753